

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9                   AT SEATTLE

10                   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11                   Plaintiff,

12                   v.

13                   DEVAUGHN CHRISTOPHER DORSEY,

14                   Defendant.

CASE NO. CR04-352-JCC

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT  
AND DETERMINATION AS TO  
ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF  
SUPERVISED RELEASE

15                   INTRODUCTION

16                   I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on August 16,  
17 2010. The United States was represented by Sunni Ko, and defendant was represented by Jesse  
18 Cantor. The proceedings were recorded on cassette tape.

20                   CONVICTION AND SENTENCE

21                   Defendant had been convicted on or about April 21, 2006 on charges of conspiracy to traffic  
22 in stolen motor vehicles, and operating a “chop shop.” The Hon. John C. Coughenour of this court  
23 sentenced defendant to 84 months in custody (later reduced to 48 months), followed by three years of  
24 supervised release.

1  
2           ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND DEFENDANT'S ADMISSIONS

3           In an application dated August 10, 2010, USPO Sara K. Moore alleged that defendant  
4 violated the conditions of supervised release by committing new criminal offenses while on  
5 supervision, resulting in 2010 convictions, to wit:

- 6           (1)     Conspiracy to traffic in motor vehicle parts (guilty plea);  
7           (2)     Operating a chop shop (two counts, guilty plea);  
8           (3)     Trafficking in motor vehicles (16 counts, guilty plea);  
9           (4)     Witness tampering (jury conviction); and  
10          (5)     Discharging a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence (jury conviction).

11          I advised defendant as to these charges and as to his constitutional rights. In light of the  
12 convictions on these offenses, defendant admitted all five alleged violations, waived any hearing as  
13 to whether they occurred, and consented to having the matter set for a disposition hearing.

14  
15  
16        ///

17  
18        ///

19  
20        ///

1                   RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

2       Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that defendant has violated the  
3 conditions of his supervised release in the five respects alleged, and conduct a disposition hearing.  
4 The disposition hearing on supervised release violations will be conducted by Chief Judge Lasnik at  
5 the same hearing as the sentencing on the new charges. The Clerk has confirmed with the staff for  
6 Chief Judge Lasnik that this hearing will be September 24, 2010.

7       Defendant has been detained pending a final determination by the court.

8       DATED this 17th day of August, 2010.

9                   s/ John L. Weinberg

10                  United States Magistrate Judge

11  
12  
13 cc:     Sentencing Judges           :     Hon. Robert S. Lasnik  
14   :     Hon. John C. Coughenour  
15     Assistant U.S. Attorney       :     Sunni Ko  
16     Defense Attorney               :     Jesse Cantor  
17     U. S. Probation Officer       :     Sara K. Moore  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25